

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/643,188	HAZAMA, KATSUKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cynthia Britt	2138

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Cynthia Britt.

(3) _____.

(2) Noel Kivlin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2006

Time: N/A

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

37, 40

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed Examiners' amendment to resolve formality issues - documented in examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)